



Council Information Package

Members of City Council may request that an information item be placed on the next available Committee of the Whole agenda.

Date: July 4, 2025

Contact: clerks@burlington.ca

	Pages
1. City of Burlington Information Items	1 - 4
1.1 Letters from Independent Electricity System Operator: LT2 Procurement Process & Requirements for Municipalities	5 - 11
1.2 Automated Speed Enforcement Progress Update	12 - 15
2. Correspondence	
2.1 City of Pickering: Opposition to Bill 5 and Request to Repeal	16 - 19
2.2 Municipality of West Nipissing: Strong Mayor Powers	20 - 22
2.3 City of Port Colborne: Increased Income Support Thresholds for Canadian Veterans	23 - 25
2.4 Town of Cobourg: Bill 5 and its Impact on the Local Food System and Environment	26 - 27

SUBJECT: Automated Speed Enforcement Progress Update

TO: Council Information Package

FROM: Public Works
Transportation

Report Number: **PWS-29-25**

Wards Affected: all

Date to Committee: N/A

Date to Council: N/A

CIP Date: 6/27/2025

This memo is a progress update on the implementation of the Automated Speed Enforcement (ASE) program and is a follow up to staff report TS-02-24 (approved at the October 15, 2024, Council meeting). The program involves deploying six mobile speed cameras on a rotating basis throughout designated Community Safety Zone (CSZ) locations near schools. Penalties will be processed through the Toronto Joint Processing Centre (JPC) using Administrative Penalty System (APS) and administered by the City using a case management solution.

Progress to Date:

Site Selection and Community Safety Zones (CSZs)

School site review is substantially complete. Draft Community Safety Zone (CSZ) by-law amendments are under internal review. Six initial ASE locations (one per ward) have been identified as per the below table. Signage plans are being finalized for installation in summer/fall 2025.

Brant Street (Ward 2) currently has a School Zone Flasher system, which is incompatible with ASE; the flasher will be removed and replaced with a full time (static) 40km/h speed limit.

Ward	School Name	Street
1	Aldershot High School	Fairwood Place West
2	Central Public/High School & St. John's Catholic Elementary School	Brant Street
3	Paul A. Fisher Public School	Cavendish Drive
4	Sir E. Macmillan Public School	Headon Road
5	St. Christopher Catholic Elementary School	Sutton Drive
6	Notre Dame Catholic High School	Headon Forest Drive

Agreements

The JPC agreement is fully executed. The camera vendor agreement is under legal review. Discussions with the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and Ministry of the Attorney General (MAG) are ongoing. Execution of the MTO agreement is contingent on finalizing the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA), which is currently under review.

Case Management Solution

The AIMS platform (also used for parking offences and will be used for other By-law offences) has been selected for ASE but is not yet configured. A project lead has been assigned, and planning is underway. This remains the most significant risk due to its complexity, resourcing and staff needs and dependencies.

Key Dates & Milestones

- Q2/Q3 2025
 - Public communication messaging
 - Initiate by-law submissions for CSZs
 - Begin review of camera vendor and MAG agreements

- Q3 2025
 - Finalize and approve CSZ and APS by-laws

- Complete PIA (required for MTO agreement)
- Finalize public outreach materials
- Q4 2025
 - Complete MTO agreement
 - Configure AIMS case management system
 - Install signage at first six CSZs (minimum 90 days before camera activation)
 - Deploy mobile cameras and activate ASE program

Risks

- **Case Management Solution:** The AIMS solution remains the most critical risk due to system complexity and internal resource availability.
- **MTO Agreement Dependency:** Contingent on PIA completion which is related to AIMS configuration.
- **Timing Risk:** While Q4 2025 activation is targeted, delays to PIA or by-laws could shift deployment into Q1 2026.
- **Holiday Period Impact:** Mid/late December activation is not ideal due to limited staff availability.
- **Cross-Department Support:** Timely input from BDS, Legal, Privacy, and Communications teams remains essential.

Conclusion

The ASE program remains on track for Q4 2025 deployment. Staff are actively managing key risks, and every effort is being made to meet the target launch timeline. A shift to Q1 2026 may occur if critical components are delayed, but the goal remains to have the system operational by the end of this year.

Memo Details

Author:

Chris King
Manager Transportation Operations
Chris.king@burlington.ca

Memo Approval:

All memos are reviewed and approved by the Commissioner, Head of Corporate Affairs, Chief Financial Officer, and Commissioner of Legal and Legislative Services/City Solicitor.

June 27, 2025



Independent Electricity System Operator

1600-120 Adelaide Street West

Toronto, ON M5H 1T1

t 416.967.7474

www.ieso.ca

Dear Members of Council,

Re: Update from IESO on LT2 Procurement Process

Please accept this letter to be shared with relevant staff and members of your Council to help municipalities prepare as the [Independent Electricity System Operator \(IESO\)](#) launches the Long-Term 2 (LT2) procurement. The IESO recognizes the importance of collaborative efforts between stakeholders and communities to advance Ontario's energy infrastructure while meeting municipal planning goals and is committed to keeping municipalities informed as work progresses. This letter aims to provide a fact-based view of the province's growing need for electricity, the important role that securing new electricity infrastructure plays in ensuring Ontario continues to have a reliable, affordable and sustainable electricity system today and into the future and offers a suite of online resources to support municipalities through the procurement process.

The IESO works at the heart of Ontario's electricity system. We maintain reliability by overseeing the operation of the grid in real time – 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. We also conduct ongoing planning and procurement processes to ensure Ontario's electricity system has the infrastructure and tools in place to meet our needs 20-years into the future.

The growth of Ontario's electricity system must accelerate at an unprecedented pace. The IESO forecasts annual electricity demand to grow 75 per cent by 2050 due to economic growth, electrification, and evolving technologies. To ensure reliable and affordable electricity is available where and when it is needed, the IESO is moving forward with ambitious plans to build a significant amount of new supply and transmission infrastructure, as well as to expand energy efficiency programs.

To address Ontario's growing electricity needs, the IESO has initiated several electricity supply acquisition mechanisms, including the LT2 procurement process. The LT2 Request for Proposal (RFP) seeks to procure new supply resources and will include multiple proposal submission windows, that will be run on approximately an annual basis. The LT2 RFP will take an "all of the above" approach to eligibility and may see wind, solar, bioenergy, energy storage, combined heat and power, hydroelectric and natural gas projects come forward under the first submission window. Successful projects will be awarded a 20-year contract term.

Municipalities play a critical role in Ontario's energy transition and local decisions are shaping the future of Ontario's electricity system. Municipal governments determine whether their community will be a willing host of electricity projects by issuing a Municipal Support Confirmation (MSC) and oversee local development approvals. The MSC does not guarantee that the project will be awarded an IESO contract and does not supersede any applicable permits or approvals under applicable Laws and Regulations. If you would like more information, you can review our [LT2 RFP webpage](#).

While the IESO always encourage developers to conduct early engagement with communities, for the LT2 RFP, the IESO is empowering municipalities to determine the appropriate levels of engagement required in their communities. Each community in Ontario is unique and should be engaged in a manner that works best for their community members. This means that municipalities will be able to determine minimum engagement standards that developers must meet, as part of their issuance of an MSC.

To help inform discussions, the IESO is sharing the following resources:

- The [Annual Planning Outlook Engagement webpage](#) which provides IESO's forecast of Ontario's electricity system needs out to 2050.
- A dedicated [community engagement webpage](#) which provides key information to aid municipal decision-making.

While the IESO is responsible for planning the system and executing the procurement process, other Ministries and government authorities are responsible for overseeing environmental assessment processes and setting standards for the safe maintenance and operation of electrical equipment. As part of the IESO's contracts, proponents are required to obtain and comply with all relevant permits and processes. Additional resources include:

- Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) [Location/Site Considerations Checklist for Renewable Energy Projects](#).
- MECP's [Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals](#) which provides information on land use planning, siting considerations and decommissioning.
- To support the completion and review of the Agricultural Impact Assessment requirements the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Agribusiness has published guidelines documents here: [OMAFA Guidelines for the LT2 AIA Component One Requirement](#).
- The Ontario Association of Fire Chiefs, the Canadian Renewable Energy Association and Energy Storage Canada released the [Solar Electricity and Battery Storage Systems Safety Handbook for Firefighters](#).
- A [toolkit](#) created by the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) to guide municipalities on the development of electricity projects.
- The Ontario government requires all renewable energy proposals to acquire [Renewable Energy Approvals](#) before moving forward with development. More information on the approval process can be found via the link above.
- More information about wind turbine noise can be found on [Health Canada's page](#).

The current electricity system will not meet electricity demand projected for 2050. Ontario requires more electricity, and we must work together to secure it. Municipalities like yours are

key partners in Ontario's energy transition and local decisions play a critical role in shaping the province's electricity system. Municipalities determine whether they are willing hosts for new supply resources and are therefore essential to securing the energy resources needed to meet Ontario's growing electricity needs. For this reason, it is essential that municipalities remain engaged and informed in the procurement process. To stay up to date please subscribe [here](#).

The IESO is committed to continuing our engagement with municipalities as work progresses, and we welcome your feedback and involvement in these important matters.

If you would like more information, please email our Regional and Community Engagement team at communityengagement@ieso.ca.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'C. Nell', is positioned above the printed name.

Carla Y. Nell

Executive Vice-President, Corporate Relations, Engagement and Strategy, IESO

LT2 Procurement Steps and Requirements for Municipalities

June 2025

Purpose

This document aims to provide further details on the steps a municipality can expect during the IESO's Long-Term 2 (LT2) procurement process and the specific requirements that developers and municipalities must complete if the proposed project is to be supported by the municipality and be eligible to compete for an IESO contract. It also includes details on the approximate timelines for both developers and municipalities as contemplated in the LT2 procurement process.

Step 1: Engagement with Developer

- Municipalities can expect to be approached by developers to discuss potential projects. As all developers are required under the LT2 RFP to provide the Local Municipality with a **Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice** at least 60 days prior to Proposal Submission Deadline, this may be the first form of formal engagement. A sample of the Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice will be provided as part of the [Prescribed Form\(PF\): Evidence of Municipal Support](#).
- The Pre-Engagement Confirmation Notice serves the purpose of sharing preliminary project details, including a request that the Local Municipality confirms the land use designation of the proposed project site, and begins the collaborative work between the Local Municipality and the developer to establish an agreed upon community engagement plan.
- Municipalities are encouraged to set expectations and minimum community engagement standards with developers directly. This allows municipalities to determine what is sufficient community engagement based on their local needs and preferences and allows the IESO to rely on a Municipal Support Confirmation as a measure that engagement has been completed in a manner that is satisfactory to the host community.

Step 2: Protections for Agricultural Lands – applicable to Project Sites located on Prime Agricultural Land as defined by the PPS, 2024 and designated in the Local Municipality's Official Plan

- Municipalities can expect to be asked by the developer to confirm the land-use designation of the proposed project site. There are additional requirements if the project is proposed to locate in a Prime Agricultural Area.
- Per the Ministerial Directive all new electricity projects are prohibited in Specialty Crop Areas, and all new ground mounted solar projects are prohibited in Prime Agricultural Areas. All eligible projects that are proposed in Prime Agricultural Areas require the completion of an Agricultural Impact Assessment (AIA). There are three components of an AIA (avoid, minimize, and mitigate), which may be completed in two different stages for projects proposed under the LT2 RFP:
 - Stage 1: AIA Component One Requirement considers ways to avoid potential impacts (e.g., prevent impacts, where possible, through a project location process that considers options outside prime agricultural areas and lower priority soils, if necessary).
 - Stage 2: AIA Components Two and Three Requirement considers strategies to minimize potential impacts (e.g., keep impacts to a minimum by incorporating specifics in the design of a project or operational plan) and approaches to mitigate potential impacts (e.g., adopt measures to reduce the severity of impacts such as noise, dust and traffic).
- **The AIA Component One Requirement** must be met as of the Proposal submission deadline and the **AIA Components Two and Three Requirement** must be met within 18-months of the IESO awarding a Contract. These requirements are completed by the developer and then reviewed to the satisfaction of the Local Municipality. To support the completion and review of the AIA requirements the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Agribusiness has published guidelines documents here: [OMAFA Guidelines for the LT2 AIA Component One Requirement](#). The OMAFA Guidelines for the LT2 AIA Component Two and Three Requirement have not been published yet.
- Confirmation that the AIA Component One Requirement has been completed to the satisfaction of the Local Municipality is evidenced via the Municipal Support Confirmation.
- Confirmation that the AIA Components Two and Three Requirement has been completed to the satisfaction of the Local Municipality is evidenced via Exhibit T: Form of AIA Confirmation Certificate of the [LT2 Contract](#).

Step 3: Municipal Support Confirmation

- Once a developer has completed, (or has committed to completing) the agreed upon community engagement plan, the developer will ask the Local Municipality to issue a **Municipal Support Confirmation (MSC)**. A MSC is required as a part of the Proposal submission and serves as confirmation that community engagement has been completed by the developer in a manner that is satisfactory to the municipality and that the municipality is supportive of the developer submitting their proposed project to the IESO.
- The MSC does not guarantee that the proposed project will be awarded an IESO Contract and does not supersede any applicable permits under applicable Laws and Regulations.

- The MSC can be in the form of a **Municipal Resolution in Support of Proposal Submission** or a **Blanket Municipal Support Resolution** provided together with a Blanket Municipal Support Confirmation Letter.
- Municipalities are welcome to develop their own resolution, however, to make the process easier, a writable form that includes all necessary information will be posted as part of the **Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support** once the LT2 RFP documents are finalized. Municipalities can work with the proponent on filling in the relevant details. Municipalities developing their own resolution should follow the instructions included in the [Prescribed Form: Evidence of Municipal Support](#).

Step 4: Contract Awarded

- After a project is awarded an IESO LT2 contract, municipalities continue to work with developers to ensure that projects align with their community interests and requirements. Municipalities and developers have the ability to negotiate community engagement requirements and community benefit agreements. Additionally, before construction, successful projects must obtain a number of permits and approvals, including:
 - a site plan approval;
 - zoning permits or amendments (if required);
 - environmental approvals (including engagement and consultation requirements with Indigenous communities);
 - Regulatory approvals;
 - grid connection approvals; and
 - permits for new roads and other infrastructure.
- Other government organizations will also play an oversight role to ensure projects are safe and appropriately sited. Some examples include:
 - **Environmental Assessments (EA):** The Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks may conduct a Renewable Energy Approval (REA) assessment for some wind, solar and bioenergy projects. There are several other EA's that can be undertaken. More information on types of EAs can be found [here](#).
 - **Land Use and Municipal Requirements:** The Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing provides guidance on legal and regulatory requirements related to land use, such as zoning and buffer zones for specific types of generation.
 - **Agricultural Land:** The Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Agribusiness provides guidance on zoning requirements and other regulatory restrictions.
 - **Crown Land:** The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources provides guidance on the use of crown lands, including approvals, rules for access, and the role of municipalities.
 - **Fire and Safety:** Third parties, such as the Electrical Safety Authority and Technical Standards and Safety Authority, ensure that project proposals and development comply with all applicable laws and regulations.

- Over the course of the project's development, municipalities engage directly with project developers to ensure compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and local requirements.
- Additionally, projects that are **unsuccessful and are not awarded a contract** could participate in subsequent procurement windows, if the Municipality and developer are interested. This would require further engagement between the two parties to ensure compliance with the LT2 RFP and the potential to be awarded a contract in one of the multiple procurement windows.

SUBJECT: Automated Speed Enforcement Progress Update

TO: Council Information Package

FROM: Public Works
Transportation

Report Number: **PWS-29-25**

Wards Affected: all

Date to Committee: N/A

Date to Council: N/A

CIP Date: 6/27/2025

This memo is a progress update on the implementation of the Automated Speed Enforcement (ASE) program and is a follow up to staff report TS-02-24 (approved at the October 15, 2024, Council meeting). The program involves deploying six mobile speed cameras on a rotating basis throughout designated Community Safety Zone (CSZ) locations near schools. Penalties will be processed through the Toronto Joint Processing Centre (JPC) using Administrative Penalty System (APS) and administered by the City using a case management solution.

Progress to Date:

Site Selection and Community Safety Zones (CSZs)

School site review is substantially complete. Draft Community Safety Zone (CSZ) by-law amendments are under internal review. Six initial ASE locations (one per ward) have been identified as per the below table. Signage plans are being finalized for installation in summer/fall 2025.

Brant Street (Ward 2) currently has a School Zone Flasher system, which is incompatible with ASE; the flasher will be removed and replaced with a full time (static) 40km/h speed limit.

Ward	School Name	Street
1	Aldershot High School	Fairwood Place West
2	Central Public/High School & St. John's Catholic Elementary School	Brant Street
3	Paul A. Fisher Public School	Cavendish Drive
4	Sir E. Macmillan Public School	Headon Road
5	St. Christopher Catholic Elementary School	Sutton Drive
6	Notre Dame Catholic High School	Headon Forest Drive

Agreements

The JPC agreement is fully executed. The camera vendor agreement is under legal review. Discussions with the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) and Ministry of the Attorney General (MAG) are ongoing. Execution of the MTO agreement is contingent on finalizing the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA), which is currently under review.

Case Management Solution

The AIMS platform (also used for parking offences and will be used for other By-law offences) has been selected for ASE but is not yet configured. A project lead has been assigned, and planning is underway. This remains the most significant risk due to its complexity, resourcing and staff needs and dependencies.

Key Dates & Milestones

- Q2/Q3 2025
 - Public communication messaging
 - Initiate by-law submissions for CSZs
 - Begin review of camera vendor and MAG agreements

- Q3 2025
 - Finalize and approve CSZ and APS by-laws

- Complete PIA (required for MTO agreement)
- Finalize public outreach materials
- Q4 2025
 - Complete MTO agreement
 - Configure AIMS case management system
 - Install signage at first six CSZs (minimum 90 days before camera activation)
 - Deploy mobile cameras and activate ASE program

Risks

- **Case Management Solution:** The AIMS solution remains the most critical risk due to system complexity and internal resource availability.
- **MTO Agreement Dependency:** Contingent on PIA completion which is related to AIMS configuration.
- **Timing Risk:** While Q4 2025 activation is targeted, delays to PIA or by-laws could shift deployment into Q1 2026.
- **Holiday Period Impact:** Mid/late December activation is not ideal due to limited staff availability.
- **Cross-Department Support:** Timely input from BDS, Legal, Privacy, and Communications teams remains essential.

Conclusion

The ASE program remains on track for Q4 2025 deployment. Staff are actively managing key risks, and every effort is being made to meet the target launch timeline. A shift to Q1 2026 may occur if critical components are delayed, but the goal remains to have the system operational by the end of this year.

Memo Details

Author:

Chris King
 Manager Transportation Operations
Chris.king@burlington.ca

Memo Approval:

All memos are reviewed and approved by the Commissioner, Head of Corporate Affairs, Chief Financial Officer, and Commissioner of Legal and Legislative Services/City Solicitor.

Sent by Email

June 30, 2025

The Honourable Doug Ford
Premier of Ontario
Legislative Building, Queen's Park Room 281
Toronto, ON M7A 1A1
premier@ontario.ca

Subject: Opposition to Bill 5 and Request to Repeal

The Council of The Corporation of the City of Pickering considered the above matter at a Meeting held on June 23, 2025 and adopted the following resolution:

WHEREAS, on April 17, 2025, the Government of Ontario brought forth Bill 5: *Protect Ontario by Unleashing Our Economy Act, 2025*, a broad omnibus legislation that proposes to make various changes to existing planning, consultation, approval, authority, and protection frameworks found in Provincial statutes in relation to major infrastructure, mining and resource development projects;

And Whereas, on June 4, 2025, Bill 5 passed its third and final reading in the Ontario legislature, but has not yet come into force;

And Whereas, Bill 5 granted broad powers by creating provincial “authority to designate special economic zones”, which allows for the suspension of constitutionally protected rights of Indigenous peoples and the suspension of powers of municipal governments and environmental authorities;

And Whereas, Bill 5 provides powers for the Province that First Nations governments in Ontario have stated would be contrary to Treaty agreements with the Crown;

And Whereas, amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act, 1990, fail to address systemic issues in archaeological resource management, such as delayed consultation with Indigenous communities and unilateral provincial control over Indigenous artifacts and cultural heritage. Additionally, the amendments exacerbate already weakened heritage protections brought in Report #2025-CG-7 Page 2 of 10 through Bill 23. Any exemptions under the Ontario Heritage Act could result in negative impacts, including the destruction of Indigenous artifacts and burial sites;

And Whereas, Bill 5 repeals the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and degrades protections for the environment and circumvents policies that have kept Ontarians safe

and healthy for decades, including regulations that prevent the contamination of Ontario's water and the means by which water contamination is identified;

And Whereas, the new regime for endangered species and at-risk species is designed for more flexible permitting and registry-based approvals, rather than automatic prohibitions on activities that harm listed species or their habitats. Additionally, narrowing the definition of habitat in the ESA to just the immediate area surrounding dwelling sites excludes protection of broader ecosystems that endangered and at-risk species rely on for survival. There are more than 230 species at risk in Ontario, many of which are in Durham, including numerous birds, fish, insects, reptiles and plant species. These species have experienced population declines over the past several decades and are at risk of being lost completely. While these changes could expedite development approvals, they may also reduce environmental oversight resulting in devastation to populations of endangered species;

And Whereas, the 'trusted proponent' model under the Special Economic Zones Act, 2025, may create a two-tier development system, favouring select private businesses with fewer regulations, thereby undermining labour standards and enabling potentially exploitative and unsafe working conditions;

And Whereas, Bill 5 enables the Province to override municipal authorities and planning activities without consultation or warning, including the ability to upend planning processes already long underway and future planning processes being considered or yet to be contemplated;

And Whereas, Bill 5 undermines the practice and spirit of democracy and a rights-based system in the Province of Ontario, and to date has garnered the public opposition of the Chiefs of Ontario, Nishnawbe Aski Nation, Anishinabek Nation, Association of Iroquois and Allied Indians, Sandy Lake First Nation, Neskantaga First Nation, Grassy Narrows First Nation, the Canadian Civil Liberties Association, Amnesty International Canada, the Canadian Environmental Law Association, World Wildlife Fund – Canada, David Suzuki Foundation, The Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society, Ontario Biodiversity Council, Ontario Nature, Legal Advocates for Nature's Defence, Environmental Defense, Wildlands League, Wildlife Preservation Canada, Ecojustice, the Toronto Zoo, Mining Watch Canada, Democracy Watch, municipalities in Ontario, and numerous other pan-regional and local organizations across Ontario;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Council of The Corporation for the City of Pickering:

1. Opposes the Government of Ontario's Bill 5 due to its infringement on Indigenous rights, assignment of powers to arbitrarily override and ignore long-established areas of municipal government authorities, risks to the long-term health of important ecosystems and the environment generally,

and circumvention of numerous democratic rights and oversight responsibilities;

2. Calls upon the Government of Ontario to immediately repeal Bill 5;
3. Urges the Government of Ontario, in accordance with the recommendation of Grand Chief Joel Abram of the Association of Iroquois and Allied Indians, to “engage in a comprehensive redrafting process with full First Nations participation” and to likewise engage with municipalities and other affected stakeholders in the same comprehensive redrafting process, maintaining a rights-based foundation, inclusive of an evidence-based approach to environmental protections;
4. Supports efforts to streamline the approvals process for mining projects in Ontario when it does not come at the expense of safeguarding against environmental and community impacts, nor negate or remove the duty to consult with our Indigenous stakeholders such as the Mississauga’s of Scugog Island First nation (MSIFN), and those Indigenous Nations adjacent to the designated “Ring of Fire” mining zone;
5. Is supportive of mechanisms that would result in increased local procurement in the electricity sector; however, we wish it to be noted that limiting competition could increase costs if local or preferred suppliers are more expensive than international alternatives, which may result in increased electricity prices for ratepayers; and,
6. Directs that a copy of this resolution be sent to the Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario; the Honourable Stephen Lecce, Minister of Energy and Mines; the Honourable Todd McCarthy, Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks; the Honourable Vic Fedeli, Minister of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade; the Honourable Rob Flack, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing; the Honourable George Pirie, Minister of Northern Economic Development and Growth; the Honourable Greg Rickford, Minister of Indigenous Affairs and First Nations Economic Reconciliation and Minister Responsible for Ring of Fire Economic and Community Partnerships; Chief Kelly LaRocca of the Mississauga’s of Scugog Island First Nations; all treaty members of the William Treaties signatories; the Association of Municipalities of Ontario; the Region of

Durham; and all municipalities in Ontario.

Should you require further information, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 905.420.4660, extension 2019.

Yours truly



Susan Cassel
City Clerk

SC:am

Copy: The Hon. Stephen Lecce, Minister of Energy and Mines
The Hon. Todd McCarthy, Minister of Environment, Conservation, and Parks
The Hon. Vic Fedeli, Minister of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade
The Hon. Rob Flack, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing
The Hon. George Pirie, Minister of Northern Economic Development and Growth
The Hon. Greg Rickford, Minister of Indigenous Affairs and First Nations Economic Reconciliation and Minister Responsible for Ring of Fire economic and Community Partnerships
Chief Kelly LaRocca, Mississauga's of Scugog Island First Nations
Chief Joanne P. Sandy, Beausoleil First Nation
Chief Ted Williams, Rama First Nation
Chief Donna Big Canoe, Chippewas of Georgina Island First Nation
Chief Keith Knott, Curve Lake First Nation
Chief Laurie Carr, Hiawatha First Nation
Chief Taynar Simpson, Alderville First Nation
Alexander Harras, Director of Legislative Services and Regional Clerk
The Regional Municipality of Durham
Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO)
All Ontario Municipalities

Chief Administrative Officer



Council - Committee of the Whole

Resolution # 2025-214
 Title: Authorize Resolution & Letter to the Minister - Strong Mayor Powers
 Date: June 17, 2025

Moved by: Councillor Roland Larabie
 Seconded by: Councillor Georges Pharand

WHEREAS the Government of Ontario has expanded Strong Mayor Powers to an additional 169 municipalities across the province;
AND WHEREAS the Municipality of West Nipissing values a democratic, collaborative approach to municipal governance in which all members of Council are equal participants in the decision-making process;
AND WHEREAS Council believes that the Strong Mayor Powers undermine democratic accountability, diminish transparency and centralizes excessive power in a single elected official;
NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Municipality of West Nipissing does not support the implementation of Strong Mayor Powers within its jurisdiction;
AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT Council directs the Mayor to send a letter to Premier of Ontario, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing communicating this position;
AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this resolution be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO), the Rural Ontario Municipal Association (ROMA), the Federation of Northern Ontario Municipalities (FONOM), and the local Member of Provincial Parliament (MPP) for their information and support.

CARRIED



June 17, 2025

The Premier of Ontario
Legislative Building
Queens Park
Toronto, ON M7A 1A4
Via email: premier@ontario.ca

Attn: The Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario

Dear Mr. Ford,

Subject: Strong Mayor Powers – Municipality of West Nipissing

At its meeting of June 17, 2025, the Council for the Municipality of West Nipissing considered the recently expanded Strong Mayor Powers granted to an additional 169 municipalities across Ontario.

Following a review of the legislation and a discussion of its potential impacts, Council wishes to express its opposition to this legislative framework. West Nipissing, along with many other municipalities, believes that the Strong Mayor Powers undermine the fundamental principles of local democracy, transparency, and collaborative decision-making, which are essential to effective municipal governance.

The Municipality of West Nipissing takes pride in its inclusive, community-driven approach to leadership, where all members of Council contribute meaningfully in shaping the municipality’s future. The legislated concentration of executive powers in the office of the Mayor runs counter to these values and traditions.

While Council acknowledges that the legislation is currently in force, we respectfully urge the Province to reconsider its implementation. We further recommend that any structural changes of this magnitude be preceded by robust consultations with municipalities, residents, and key stakeholders to ensure that local governance continues to reflect the democratic will of the communities it serves.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Council remains available for further dialogue and would welcome the opportunity to discuss these concerns in greater detail.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Thorne-Rochon
Mayor, Municipality of West Nipissing

cc: AMO, ROMA, FONOM, Local MPP



June 17, 2025

Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing
17th Floor – 777 Bay Street
Toronto, ON M7A 2J3
Via email: rob.flack@pc.ola.org

Attn: The Honourable Rob Flack, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Dear Mr. Flack,

Subject: Strong Mayor Powers – Municipality of West Nipissing

At its meeting of June 17, 2025, the Council for the Municipality of West Nipissing considered the recently expanded Strong Mayor Powers granted to an additional 169 municipalities across Ontario.

Following a review of the legislation and a discussion of its potential impacts, Council wishes to express its opposition to this legislative framework. West Nipissing, along with many other municipalities, believes that the Strong Mayor Powers undermine the fundamental principles of local democracy, transparency, and collaborative decision-making, which are essential to effective municipal governance.

The Municipality of West Nipissing takes pride in its inclusive, community-driven approach to leadership, where all members of Council contribute meaningfully in shaping the municipality’s future. The legislated concentration of executive powers in the office of the Mayor runs counter to these values and traditions.

While Council acknowledges that the legislation is currently in force, we respectfully urge the Province to reconsider its implementation. We further recommend that any structural changes of this magnitude be preceded by robust consultations with municipalities, residents, and key stakeholders to ensure that local governance continues to reflect the democratic will of the communities it serves.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Council remains available for further dialogue and would welcome the opportunity to discuss these concerns in greater detail.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Thorne-Rochon
Mayor, Municipality of West Nipissing

cc: AMO, ROMA, FONOM, Local MPP



PORT COLBORNE

Legislative Services

Municipal Offices: 66 Charlotte Street
Port Colborne, Ontario L3K 3C8 · www.portcolborne.ca

T 905.228.8031 F 905.834.5746

E charlotte.madden@portcolborne.ca

June 27, 2025

Email: pm@pm.gc.ca

The Honourable Mark Carney
Prime Minister of Canada
80 Wellington Street
Ottawa, ON K1A 0A2

Dear Prime Minister Carney:

**Re: City of Port Colborne Supports the Town of Bradford West Gwillimbury –
Advocacy for Increased Income Support Thresholds for Canadian Veterans**

Please be advised that, at its meeting of June 24, 2025 the Council of The Corporation of the City of Port Colborne supported the resolution received from the Town of Gwillimbury regarding Advocacy for Increased Income Support Thresholds for Canadian Veterans.

The correspondence is attached for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Madden
City Clerk

ec: The Honourable Jill McKnight, Minister of Veterans Affairs;
The Honourable Andrew Scheer, Acting Leader of the Official Opposition
Fred Davies, Member of Parliament for New Tecumseth-Gwillimbury;
The Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM)
The Association of Municipalities (AMO)
All Ontario Municipalities

June 17, 2025

VIA EMAIL

Re: Advocacy for Increased Income Support Thresholds for Canadian Veterans

At its Regular Meeting of Council held on Tuesday, June 3, 2025, the Town of Bradford West Gwillimbury Council ratified the following motion:

Resolution 2025-185

Moved by: Councillor Harper

Seconded by: Councillor Scott

WHEREAS the Town of Bradford West Gwillimbury recognizes the selfless service and enduring sacrifices made by Canadian Armed Forces veterans in the defence of our country and values;

WHEREAS the 2021 Census, conducted by Statistics Canada, identified more than 460,000 veterans residing across Canada, a significant population segment deserving of comprehensive, accessible, and modernized federal support;

WHEREAS Veterans Affairs Canada (VAC) currently administers income support programs to assist veterans in need, including the Income Replacement Benefit (IRB) program;

WHEREAS the eligibility threshold for the Income Replacement Benefit (IRB) program which was created in 2019—set at \$20,000 annually for a single-person household—fails to reflect today's economic reality, particularly in light of inflation, soaring housing costs, and the general increase in cost of living;

WHEREAS such low eligibility thresholds may disincentivize employment and community participation by penalizing veterans for earning beyond an outdated benchmark, thereby discouraging reintegration and contribution to civic life;

WHEREAS it is the duty of all levels of government to stand in unified support of our veterans and to advocate for policy changes that enable them to live with dignity and financial stability;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the Council of the Town of Bradford West Gwillimbury formally calls on the Government of Canada and all federal parties to increase the eligibility threshold for the Income Replacement Benefit (IRB) program from \$20,000 to no less than \$40,000 annually for a single-person household; and

THAT Council urges Veterans Affairs Canada to review all income support programs with the intent to modernize eligibility criteria in line with the current cost of living across Canada;

THAT this motion be formally endorsed and sent to:

- The Right Honourable Mark Carney, Prime Minister of Canada;
- The Honourable Jill McKnight, Minister of Veterans Affairs;
- The Honourable Andrew Scheer, Acting Leader of the Official Opposition
- Scot Davidson, Member of Parliament for New Tecumseth-Gwillimbury;
- All 444 municipalities across the Province of Ontario;
- The Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM) and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) for broader distribution and endorsement; and

THAT a copy of this resolution be published on the Town's official website and communicated through the Town's official channels to raise awareness and gather public support.

Regards,



Tara Reynolds
Clerk, Town of Bradford West Gwillimbury
(905) 775-5366 Ext 1104
treynolds@townofbwg.com

CC: Hon. Mark Carney, Prime Minister of Canada
Hon. Jill McKnight, Minister of Veterans Affairs
Hon. Andrew Scheer, Acting Leader of the Official Opposition
Scot Davidson, MP New Tecumseth-Gwillimbury
All Ontario Municipalities
The Federation of Canadian Municipalities (FCM)
Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO)



The Corporation of the Town of Cobourg

Resolution

Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario
Premier of Ontario
Legislative Building
Queen's Park
Toronto, ON M7A 1A1

Town of Cobourg
55 King Street West,
Cobourg, ON, K9A 2M2
clerk@cobourg.ca

Delivered via email

Doug.fordco@pc.ola.org
premier@ontario.ca

July 4, 2025

RE: Ontario's Bill 5 and its Impact on the Local Food System and the Environment

Please be advised that the Town of Cobourg Council, at its meeting held on June 25, 2025, passed the following resolution:

WHEREAS a significant amount of farmland is already lost each year to development pressures; and

WHEREAS food systems depend on healthy ecosystems, which are already under significant threat in Ontario due to a host of human-caused threats to wildlife and habitat; and

WHEREAS Ontario Bill 5 significantly undermines ecological protections and local decision making, both of which are essential to local food systems and food security; and

WHEREAS the existing Provincial Planning Statement emphasizes the need for agricultural impact and environmental assessments; supports broad community consultation; and encourages municipalities to explore alternative areas before developing prime agricultural land; and

WHEREAS development of housing and important resources are possible without sacrificing the long-term health of our food systems, environment, and democratic norms.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Council of the Cobourg opposes Bill 5 in its current form, and calls upon the Government of Ontario to



The Corporation of the Town of Cobourg

Resolution

strive to uphold the long-term health of our food systems, environment, and democracy in any future version of this bill; and

FURTHER RESOLVED that this motion be circulated to Premier Doug Ford and all Ontario municipalities.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Kristina Lepik".

Kristina Lepik
Deputy Clerk/Manager, Legislative Services

cc. All Ontario Municipalities